

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/683,987 | 10/14/2003 | Gerardo Y. Pablo | 51299.0002 (HART) | 3100 |
| 7590 01/09/2007 WAYNE L LOVERCHECK ESQ THE QUINN LAW FIRM 2222 W GRANDVIEW BLVD ERIE, PA 16506-4508 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | • | | 3727 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanas (| 10/683,987 | Gorardo V. Dahla | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Gerardo Y. Pablo Art Unit | | | |
| | LARSON | | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | 3727 | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | out on the tover sneet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, | failing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar | nandmont which places the | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | D). | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attomey or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | ence rendered on and becaus ns. | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | AG | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawinimize any negative effects on patent term. | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |